

EPA REGION 3 BROWNFIELDS ASSESSMENT GRANT PROPERTY APPROVAL QUESTIONNAIRE FOR HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SITES

	Grant Recipient: Greene County Industrial Development Authority
	Grant Number: BF 96359501
	Date form is filled out: 7-9-2020
A.	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION
1.	Property Name: 2456 Smith Creek Road
2.	Property Address: 2456 Smith Creek Road, Waynesburg, PA
3.	Acreage (if known): 54 acres
	Is the site a brownfield, "real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant?" YES NO
	Describe the property's operational history and current use(s). The site was formerly utilized as a scrap metal yard for an unknown number of years. The site is currently vacant.
	Identify when and how the property potentially became contaminated. Potential contamination at the site likely resulted from historical use as a metal scrap yard.
	What kind of contamination is suspected? Hazardous substances typically associated with historic scrap metal operations such as metals and semi-volatile organic compounds.
8.	Work to be done: Phase I \boxtimes Phase II \square Phase III \square Other \square What is the estimated cost of the assessments? $$5,500$
В.	REUSE POTENTIAL
	Are there firm development plans for the property? Please describe. Yes. The site is located along the edge of a commercial district of Waynesburg with miscellaneous commercial properties along the corridor. The current ownership is interested in selling / redeveloping the property for commercial use however, the unknown potential environmental legacy has stymied redevelopment.
Reg	gion 3 Property Approval Questionnaire for Hazardous Substance Sites [PAGE]

2. Is the property an integral part of a local development plan? The property is located within the "Infill & Reinvestment Area" as identified in the Greene County 2020 Comprehensive Plan update. This area has been identified as one of several high priority areas for growth and redevelopment.
3. Does the property have strong development potential as evidenced by past or present interest of a developer? Yes. The property owner has attempted to sell / redevelop the site however, unknown potential environmental conditions based on the previous use have prevented redevelopment.
4. Is there a commitment in place to fund the cleanup? If not, what are some potential sources of cleanup funding that can be used? Funding commitments for remediation, if needed, are not yet known. Potential sources of future clean up finding include private funding from the end-use developer, PADCED ISRP Clean Up Funding, and/or US EPA Brownfields Remediation Grants.
C. PROPERTY OWNERSHIP
1. Who is the current owner of the property? <u>John Puskarich</u>
If you own the property, please respond to questions a through f below:
 a. How was the property acquired? ☐ Tax Foreclosure ☐ Donation ☐ Eminent Domain ☐ Bought it outright ☐ Other (Explain below)
b. When was the property acquired? Date:
 c. Did you conduct All Appropriate Inquiry or an equivalent Phase I assessment prior to the purchase? ☐ YES ☐ NO When/Date: Who performed the All Appropriate Inquiry?
Who performed the All Appropriate Inquiry?
d. Describe your organization's relationship with all prior owners of the property and any familial, contractual, corporate, or financial relationships or affiliations that your organization may have had with the current or past owners or operators of the property.
e. Did disposal of any hazardous substances occur after you acquired the property?
Region 3 Property Approval Questionnaire for Hazardous Substance Sites [PAGE]

f.	Describe what you are doing to provide appropriate care to address hazardous
1.	substances that may be on the property.
2. Was y	our organization ever an owner, operator or lessee of the property? \square YES \boxtimes NO
•	our organization cause, contribute or arrange for the disposal of or releases of hazardous aces at the property in any way? \square YES \boxtimes NO
The c	be the current owner's role in the assessment and cleanup of the property. urrent owner is providing site access and available historical information and will upany representatives on the site visit.
	ne current owner allow access to the property to conduct the assessments?
⊠ YE	S □ NO
⊠ YE	S □ NO
	ES NOT ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING
D. SIT]	
D. SIT 1. Is the part of the	ES NOT ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING or operty listed (or proposed for listing) on the CERCLA (Superfund) National Priorities ☐ YES ☒ NO or operty subject to a unilateral administrative order, court order, administrative order on t, or judicial consent decree issued to or entered into by parties under the ehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)?
D. SIT 1. Is the part of the	ES NOT ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING or operty listed (or proposed for listing) on the CERCLA (Superfund) National Priorities YES NO or operty subject to a unilateral administrative order, court order, administrative order on t, or judicial consent decree issued to or entered into by parties under the
D. SIT	ES NOT ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING or operty listed (or proposed for listing) on the CERCLA (Superfund) National Priorities ☐ YES ☒ NO or operty subject to a unilateral administrative order, court order, administrative order on t, or judicial consent decree issued to or entered into by parties under the ehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)?
D. SIT	ES NOT ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING property listed (or proposed for listing) on the CERCLA (Superfund) National Priorities YES NO property subject to a unilateral administrative order, court order, administrative order on t, or judicial consent decree issued to or entered into by parties under the ehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)? NO property subject to the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the U.S. government?
D. SIT	ES NOT ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING property listed (or proposed for listing) on the CERCLA (Superfund) National Priorities ☐ YES ☒ NO property subject to a unilateral administrative order, court order, administrative order on the original consent decree issued to or entered into by parties under the elensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)? S ☒ NO property subject to the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the U.S. government? S ☒ NO the answer is YES to any part of the above (D.1 – 3), the property is not eligible.
D. SIT	ES NOT ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING property listed (or proposed for listing) on the CERCLA (Superfund) National Priorities ☐ YES ☒ NO property subject to a unilateral administrative order, court order, administrative order on t, or judicial consent decree issued to or entered into by parties under the ehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)? S ☒ NO property subject to the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the U.S. government? S ☒ NO

☐ YES ☒ NO	ed or ongoing CERCLA removal action?
administrative order on consent, of U.S. or an authorized state under Resource Conservation and Reco	t of a unilateral administrative order, court order, an or judicial consent decree, <i>or been issued a permit</i> by the CERCLA, the Solid Waste Disposal Act (as amended by the overy Act (RCRA)), the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Control Act (TSCA), or the Safe Drinking Water Act
1 1 0	ive action orders under RCRA (sections 3004(u) or 3008(h)) etion permit or order issued or modified to require corrective
	nit that has filed a RCRA closure notification under subtitle sure requirements specified in a closure plan or permit?
	F polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and is subject to ES ⊠ NO
remediation under TSCA? Y	
	g for remediation from the Leaking Underground Storage ES ⊠ NO
6. Has the property received fundin Tank (LUST) Trust Fund? ☐ Y Note: If the answer is YES to any of	
6. Has the property received fundin Tank (LUST) Trust Fund? ☐ Y Note: If the answer is YES to any of	ES \boxtimes NO the above (E. 1-6), a property-specific determination is Project Officer for additional information.
6. Has the property received funding Tank (LUST) Trust Fund? ☐ Y Note: If the answer is YES to any of required. Please contact your EPA APPROVALS (EPA STAFF ONL If required, Date of enforcement screen:	TES NO The above (E. 1-6), a property-specific determination is Project Officer for additional information. AY)
6. Has the property received fundin Tank (LUST) Trust Fund? ☐ Y Note: If the answer is YES to any of required. Please contact your EPA APPROVALS (EPA STAFF ONL If required, Date of enforcement screen: Date and Name of EPA Atto	ES NO The above (E. 1-6), a property-specific determination is Project Officer for additional information. AY)
6. Has the property received fundin Tank (LUST) Trust Fund? ☐ Y Note: If the answer is YES to any of required. Please contact your EPA APPROVALS (EPA STAFF ONL If required, Date of enforcement screen: Date and Name of EPA Atto	Tes No The above (E. 1-6), a property-specific determination is Project Officer for additional information. Type Orney Consultation:
6. Has the property received funding Tank (LUST) Trust Fund? Note: If the answer is YES to any of required. Please contact your EPA APPROVALS (EPA STAFF ONL) If required, Date of enforcement screen: Date and Name of EPA Attores Site eligible for grant funding for	The above (E. 1-6), a property-specific determination is Project Officer for additional information. AY) Site assessment activities. YES NO

Region 3 Property Approval Questionnaire for Hazardous Substance Sites

[PAGE]